DOE-ID NEPA CX DETERMINATION

Page 1 of 1

CX Posting No.: DOE-ID-14-034

SECTION A.	Project Title: Extraction of Uranium from Seawater: Design and Testing of a Symbiotic System – Massachusetts Institute of Technology
SECTION B.	Project Description

The Massachusetts Institute of Technology proposes to investigate the design details of a symbiotic system in which a uranium harvesting system for mining uranium from seawater is attached to the base of an offshore wind power tower or other offshore structure.

SECTION C. Environmental Aspects / Potential Sources of Impact

Water/Well Use – This project will involve bench testing of the mechanism of a uranium extraction device. No hazardous chemicals will be used or uranium extracted. For bench testing, the apparatus will be submerged in an aquatic pool. After testing, the water will be materially unchanged.

Interaction with Wildlife/Habitat – The second phase of this project will involve deploying a prototype in seawater from a research barge off the coast of Maine to field test the mechanism of the uranium extraction device in a real saltwater environment. No hazardous chemicals will be used or uranium extracted. In addition, inherent to the design of the device it should not endanger sea life.

SECTION D. Determine the Level of Environmental Review (or Documentation) and Reference(s): Identify the applicable categorical exclusion from 10 CFR 1021, Appendix B, give the appropriate justification, and the approval date.

Note: For Categorical Exclusions (CXs) the proposed action must not: 1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environmental, safety, and health, including requirements of DOE orders; 2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities; 3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; 4) adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources. In addition, no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal exist which would affect the significance of the action, and the action is not "connected" nor "related" (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1) and (2), respectively) to other actions with potentially or cumulatively significant impacts.

References: B3.6 Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for small-scale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial development.

B3.16 Small-scale, temporary surveying, site characterization, and research activities in aquatic environments, limited to: (a) Acquisition of rights-ofway, easements, and temporary use permits; (b) Installation, operation, and removal of passive scientific measurement devices, including, but not limited to, antennae, tide gauges, flow testing equipment for existing wells, weighted hydrophones, salinity measurement devices, and water quality measurement devices; (c) Natural resource inventories, data and sample collection, environmental monitoring, and basic and applied research, excluding (1) large-scale vibratory coring techniques and (2) seismic activities other than passive techniques; and (d) Surveying and mapping. These activities would be conducted in accordance with, where applicable, an approved spill prevention, control, and response plan and would incorporate appropriate control technologies and best management practices. None of the activities listed above would occur within the boundary of an established marine sanctuary, or wildlife refuge, a governmentally proposed marine sanctuary, or wildlife refuge, or a governmentally recognized area of high biological sensitivity, unless authorized by the agency responsible for such refuge, sanctuary, or area (or after consultation with the responsible agency, if no authorization is required). If the proposed activities would occur outside such refuge, sanctuary, or area and if the activities would have the potential to cause impacts within such refuge, sanctuary, or area, then the responsible agency shall be consulted in order to determine whether authorization is required and whether such activities would have the potential to cause significant impacts on such refuge, sanctuary, or area. Areas of high biological sensitivity include, but are not limited to, areas of known ecological importance, whale and marine mammal mating and calving/pupping areas, and fish and invertebrate spawning and nursery areas recognized as being limited or unique and vulnerable to perturbation; these areas can occur in bays, estuaries, near shore, and far offshore, and may vary seasonally. No permanent facilities or devices would be constructed or installed. Covered actions do not include drilling of resource exploration or extraction wells.

Justification: The activity consists of assessing a system for harvesting uranium from seawater for	or research purposes.
Is the project funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act)	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Approved Jack Depperschmidt, DOE-ID NEPA Compliance Officer on 08/11/2014	