Ogilvie, Janice E

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, March 04, 2010 7:56 AM
To: Ogilvie, Janice E
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: Employee Concerns

Jan,

Here is the string of e-mails that we discussed. There is one more DoD e-mail from another related project that expresses concerns with BEA conduct but it contains some sensitive information so I don't feel comfortable sending it. You are welcome to come to my office and read a hard copy if you would like.

Can we see on Monday?

I have a call into [redacted] to sit down and discuss the issue with him so that he can refresh my memory on some of the details. Once that has happened, I will give you a call and we can meet again to discuss.

Sorry this has taken so long. It has been a crazy week!

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 2:12 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: US Government Bona Fides

[redacted]

Thanks! Trip cancelled.

I'll work with [redacted], [redacted] at DoD Critical Infrastructure Office, on the letter for you.

r/
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 3:37 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: US Government Bona Fides

[redacted],
It is not necessary for you to travel out here to meet in person to validate your identity.

On the issue of ownership of the equipment, we need to get something in writing that can be placed in the project file. With this being a contractual issue involving equipment, we just need to make sure that everything is formally documented. Please send a short

letter to our Contracting Officer ([redacted], info below) from a DOD individual with the requisite authority, noting DOD ownership of the property and where it is to be sent, and I'm confident that we can resolve this issue quickly.

Thanks,
[redacted]

[redacted]
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
1955 Fremont Avenue
Idaho Falls, ID 83415-1240

From: [redacted]
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2009 10:42 AM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: US Government Bona Fides
Importance: High

had equipment

didn't want 7 neg. with DOD

Why would he do this?

Department of Energy (DoE) government managers,

It has come to my attention that [redacted], a manager for Battelle Energy Associates (BAE)/Idaho National Laboratory (INL), under contract to the DoE, has communicated to you that I am not a legitimate US Government (USG) representative. And, he has informed you that other Department of Defense (DoD) personnel, including Mr. [redacted], and Mr. [redacted], are not legitimate USG representatives.

DOD pwn equip at Right & wanted back

[redacted] statement is, and I intend this, ...FRAUDULENT. [redacted] has access to the security clearance sent from my Navy security office at Dahlgren, VA clearly indicating that I, and [redacted], are legitimate USG employees. [redacted] also invited, via [redacted], myself and [redacted] to dinner, at which I refused his offer of payment for the meal, as he was a contractor and I, a USG representative of the DoD.

As the legitimate USG representative for the DoD on contracts being worked by BAE/ INL, this action by [redacted] is unacceptable. Both [redacted] and BAE/INL management and personnel have all the information they need to know that we are what we say we are; to whit, legitimate USG representatives of the DoD.

[redacted] then David did not have auth cause not Ted

I ask that you investigate [redacted] actions in this matter to determine if the FAR requirements for "removal with cause" from the contract are met in this case.

no one did

Was this done?

I can provide any additional proof you find necessary for this issue and regret the necessity for this action. If this message is sufficient to prove my status, and I am not required to present this to you in person on Wednesday, please let me know as soon as possible today.

[redacted]
Strategic & Technology Integration
[redacted]

4 (RTDS)

[redacted]
Mission Assurance Division (Z30)
NSWC Dahlgren
Dahlgren VA
[redacted]

OFFICIAL USE ONLY: PRIVACY SENSITIVE - Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure may result in both civil and criminal penalties.

(This email and any attachments may contain confidential and privileged information which is not subject to public disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by return email and delete this email. Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal.)

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 4:20 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Digital Introduction OASD & DOE-ID

[redacted]

Please send an email (unclassified & unencrypted) to our contracting officer stating that you believe that you own the RTDS devices in question and that you would like them returned to your office for future DoD use. Please also copy myself and the following Department of Energy, Idaho Operations Office managers on your email.

[redacted]

Thank you,
[redacted]
U.S. Department of Energy
Idaho Operations Office
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted]
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2009 1:16 PM
To: [redacted]
Cc: [redacted]
Subject: RE: Digital Introduction OASD & DOE-ID
Importance: High

Good Afternoon [redacted]

I'm following up on a phone message that I left with you to let you know that it is my understanding that you might have received some incorrect information from either [redacted] regarding the RTDS issue, in that the representatives from DoD who are working this issue are contractors and thus are not entitled to ownership of the devices as outlined in original contract paperwork. Please let me reassure you that I am a bona fide government employee as is my Program Manager for this matter, [redacted]. In fact, [redacted] is in the process of setting up an appointment to personally meet with you, show you his DoD credentials, and hopefully clear up any further misunderstanding on this issue.

[redacted] - BEG [redacted] Stat. & Program Rev

I hope I've answered your concerns. Please feel free to contact me at your convenience if you have any additional questions or need anything further from us. Thanks much for your assistance and cooperation in this matter.

13-Feb-Dec 2 more
incidences

4 would have been
prevented.

turnover 4 citi hues gone