Ogilvie, Janice E

From: Ogilvie, Janice E
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 12:50 PM
To: Siciliano, Mark David
Subject: 10 CFR 710

http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&sid=4bbef86971f8e867f9e64a26a96adab0&rgn=div5&view=text&node=10:4.0.2.5.5&idno=10

Mark:
Above is the link to the 10 CFR 710. Would you like me to work on the issue with DOE-ID security?
Please keep me posted on the outcome of the mediation.

Jan

Ogilvie, Janice E

From: [redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 10:44 AM
To: Ogilvie, Janice E
Subject: RE: Case No. TBI-0098

Jan – I will consider this in pending investigation. [redacted]

From: ogilvije@id.doe.gov [mailto:ogilvije@id.doe.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2010 9:17 AM
To: [redacted]
Subject: FW: Case No. TBI-0098

[redacted]

I believe this goes to me. Please let me know if there is anything that I need to do.

Jan

From: Siciliano, Mark David
Sent: Monday, June 21, 2010 4:08 PM
To: Ogilvie, Janice E
Cc: [redacted] Siciliano, Mark David
Subject: Fw: Case No. TBI-0098

Dear Janice,

Please submit the following statement in regards to BEA's response to my additional complaint:

In a meeting held on or about 1 April, 2010 in [redacted] the matter of me being ostracized or "shut out" from these visits was discussed.

All members that were in attendance-- [redacted] the [redacted] affirmed that I was in fact "shut out" of these events, i.e. punishment for my "behavior." [redacted] **affirmed that it was wrong to do that.**

I believe this admission is rather important and should be included in my rebuttal. I look forward to testifying **under oath** and as a member with a TS/SCI clearance, I am fully aware that misrepresentation of the truth under oath is grounds for loss of clearance. I also affirm that I am subject to polygraph tests, **as are other members that hold a TS/SCI clearance**.

Additionally, the other strategists that were not in attendance have their focus elsewhere, mainly on nonproliferation and in the case of [redacted], he does not have a clearance and discussions were classified. All the DOD and Intelligence Community strategists were there, with the exception of me.

Finally, please note that they did not provide any information concerning Vice Admiral [redacted] visit. I believe most/all of the DOD and IC strategists were in attendance during that visit as well. I am the only retired Naval Officer on the N&HS strategy team yet I was not asked to be a part of this visit.

This is a departure from the past where I was actually called in on a non-work Friday to attend a visit by Admiral [redacted] That visit occurred before I brought employee concerns to senior management about how INL was conducting investigations with total disregard for due process and forcing employees to admit guilt before investigations are complete.

In short-- I was a "valuable asset" during [redacted] visit even though I did not present, and now apparently I am not. That is a SIGNIFICANT change in how I am being treated. Keep in mind that BEA insists that they reorganized N&HS to separate strategy from ops so we could better focus on program development. Yet, despite their suggestion "that they are doing everything possible to set me up for success" their actions clearly indicate otherwise.

Again, it is absolutely against established protocol to invite oneself to such a high-level visit. BEA suggests that I could have attended if I wanted to. This "change in protocol" was never disseminated, but is a basis of their rebuttal.

Remedy: Retaliation is against the law and against BEA's own policy, i.e. "will not be tolerated" per our employee handbook. If proven at a hearing, then removal of those in the position of authority until they can be rehabilitated is warranted to avoid future incidents.

Thanks Janice!

Best Regards,

Mark

(See attached file: VADM [redacted] *Visit to INL (03192010) Agenda Final.docx)*

Mark D Siciliano - Calendar - IBM Lotus Notes

File Edit View Create Actions Window Help

Address

Welcome | Mark D Siciliano - Inbox | Mark D Siciliano - Calendar | Heads UP & ACTIONS - End of... | New Memo | Fw: Case No. TBI-0098

Calendar for Mark D Siciliano

Schedule a Meeting | New | Tools | Filter

Day | Week | Month | All Calendar Entries | Formatting | March 2010

April 2010

Su	Mo	Tu	We	Th	Fr	Sa
				1	2	3
4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11	12	13	14	15	16	17
18	19	20	21	22	23	24
25	26	27	28	29	30	1

Today is June 21, 2010

Thursday, April 1, 2010

02:00 PM - 02:30 PM Meeting [redacted] / Mark Siciliano
Location: EROB 3EP102 [redacted]
Chair [redacted]

03:30 PM - 05:00 PM Meeting
Location [redacted] office
Chair [redacted]

04:00 PM - 05:00 PM WTB National Funding
Location: UB2 151
Chair [redacted]

Friday, April 2, 2010

08:30 AM - 10:00 AM Strategy Group Meeting
Location: EROB - 249
Chair [redacted]

Week 13 | 39 weeks left

New Notices on LNMAIL01/ENT/INEEL/US | Preview

Disconnected | Office

Start | Mark D Siciliano - Cal... | 2:25 PM

Idaho National Laboratory

Mark Siciliano
National & Homeland Security Directorate
P.O. Box 1625, MS 3520 ♦ Idaho Falls, ID 83415
O: 208.526.4464 (STE) ♦ C: 208.360.4367 ♦ F: 208.526.9981
E-mail: mark.siciliano@inl.gov
SIPR: [redacted]
IC: [redacted]

----- Forwarded by Mark D Siciliano/SICIMD/CC01/INEEL/US on 06/21/2010 02:26 PM -----

[redacted] /INEEL/US
06/21/2010 11:18 AM

To [redacted] Mark D Siciliano/SICIMD/CC01/INEEL/US@INEL
cc
Subject Fw: Case No. TBI-0098

Dear [redacted] Mark: The following documents were filed with [redacted] today, related to the Amended Complaint filed on June 8, 2010, with Jan Ogilvie, DOE-ID. Thank you. [redacted]

----- Forwarded [redacted] INEEL/US on 06/21/2010 11:06 AM -----

[redacted] [redacted]
SubjectCase No. TBI-0098
06/21/2010 10:50 AM

Dear [redacted]: Attached for filing in the above matter is BEA's Response to Complainant's Supplemental Complaint, together with Attachments 1 through 3. Hard copy by mail will follow.

(See attached file: Response to Supplemental Complaint.pdf)(See attached file: Attachment 3.pdf)(See attached file: Attachment 1.pdf)(See attached file: Attachment 2.pdf)